

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,782 08/27/2003		Ken Takayama	OKI 371 4484		
23995 RABIN & Bero	7590 03/06/2007 do. PC		EXAMINER		
1101 14TH ST			LEE, JOHN J		
SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/648,782	TAKAYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOHN J. LEE	2618		

•		·	
	JOHN J. LEE	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or	n the same day as filing a Notice of	Appeal. To avoid aba	indonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo- places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	compliance with 37 C	FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this h 		in the final rejection wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	'06.07(f).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of evenued at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		II be èntered and an e	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

Application No. 10/648,782

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitations added to independent claims 1 and 7 were not previously claimed for requiring further search.

Continuation of 13. Other: Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-7880.

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600